

Lecture 7.

Borel measures on \mathbb{R} :

Suppose we start w/ an idea of "measure" of an interval $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Typically, we may have $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, $f \geq 0$,

we want

$$\mu((a, b)) = \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

E.g. $f(x) = 1 \Rightarrow \mu((a, b)) = b - a.$

If we write $F(y)$ for a primitive function $F(y) = \int_0^y f(x) dx$, then

$$\mu((a, b)) = F(b) - F(a).$$

(*) = nondecreasing in Folland.

$F(y)$ is then increasing^(*), continuous function, "distribution function for μ ".

For technical reasons, we shall work w/ the ^{collection \mathcal{E} of} half-open intervals $(a, b]$ and (a, ∞) , where $-\infty \leq a < b < \infty$, and we add \emptyset . Then \mathcal{E} is an elementary family of sets $E \subseteq X = \mathbb{R}$.

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$
- $E, F \in \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow E \cap F \in \mathcal{E}$
- $E \in \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow E^c$ is a disjoint, finite union of sets in \mathcal{E} .

This is why we use half-open intervals \rightarrow

By Prop 1.7 in Folland, the collection \mathcal{A} of finite, disjoint unions of sets in \mathcal{E} is an algebra.

Prop 1. Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be increasing and right continuous ($F(y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow y^+} F(x)$, $\forall y$), and for any finite, disjoint union $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (a_k, b_k]$, set

$$\mu_0(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n (F(b_k) - F(a_k)), \quad \mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$$

Then μ_0 is a pre measure on \mathcal{A} . uniqueness.
 The decomp. of $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k, b_k]$ is not

Pr. (1) Is μ_0 well-defined? The order of the intervals is of no importance. Thus, after renumbering if necessary, have $-\infty \leq a_1 < a_2 \dots < a_n$. Since they are disjoint $a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n < b_n$. The ambiguity arises if, e.g., $b_1 = a_2$. We can then replace $(a_1, b_1] \cup (a_2, b_2]$ by $(a_1, b_2]$.

But then the term

$$\begin{aligned} & (F(b_1) - F(a_1)) + (F(b_2) - F(a_2)) \\ &= F(b_2) - F(a_1). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that μ_0 is well defined on \mathcal{A} . Also, μ_0 is finitely additive.

(2) Suppose now $\{I_k = (a_k, b_k]\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are disjoint and $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$ is in \mathcal{A} .

$$\text{Since } \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \subseteq E \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(I_k) = \mu_0\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k\right) \leq \mu_0(E)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(I_k) \leq \mu_0(E).$$

For opposite ineq., we need right continuity. First, since $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I'_j$, $I'_k = [a'_k, b'_k]$, we must have the collection of I'_k split into collections whose unions are $[a'_k, b'_k]$. By finite additivity it suffices to consider $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k] = [a, b] =: E$. We shall assume that $a \neq -\infty$ so \uparrow is finite interval. (The case $a = -\infty$ is similar, - see follow.) A moment's reflection shows that for some k , say $k=1$, $b_k = b$. We can then arrange the intervals $I_k = [a_k, b_k]$ s.t. $b_1 = b$, and then $b = b_1 > a_1 = b_2 > a_2 = \dots > a_n$, and $a_n \downarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since F is right cont., for any $\varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $F(a+\delta) \leq F(a) + \varepsilon$. There is N s.t. $a_n \leq a + \delta$ for $n \geq N$.

$$\Rightarrow \mu_0(E) = F(b) - F(a) \leq F(b) - F(a+\delta) + \varepsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq F(b) - F(a_N) + \varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^N (F(b_j) - F(a_j)) + \varepsilon \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_0(I_j) + \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \mu_0(E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(I_j) \\ &\Rightarrow \mu_0(E) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(I_k). \end{aligned}$$

An important corollary that follows from Prop 1 and previous results (Thm 1.14):

Cor 1. Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be increasing, right cont. fcn. Then \exists unique Borel measure μ_F s.t. $\mu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$ for all $a < b$. If G is incr., right cont. s.t. $\mu_F = \mu_G$ then $F - G$ is constant.

We also have

Thm 1. If μ is Borel measure, then

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \mu((0, x]), & x \geq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \\ -\mu((x, 0]), & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

is increasing and right cont.

Pf. Clearly, F is increasing by monotonicity.
Need to check right cont. Assume $b > 0$. Then,

$$(0, b] = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (0, b_k] , \text{ where } b_k \rightarrow b^+.$$

$$\text{Thus, } \uparrow F(b) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(b_k), \quad b_k \rightarrow b^+.$$

by cont. from above

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} F(x) = F(b), \text{ i.e. right cont. } \square$$

Rem. We denote by μ_F the completion of the Borel measure μ_F above. μ_F is called Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure of F .

$$\text{If } F(x) = x \quad (\Rightarrow \mu_F((a, b]) = b - a)$$

then μ_F is the Lebesgue measure.

